K970448

Tecnol Medical Products, Inc. 510(k) Premarket Notification PFR95™ Particulate Filter Respirator and Surgical Mask with FluidShield® Protection

> Tab H 1 of 2

Ø008/009

APR 30 1997

510(k) SUMMARY

(1)Submitter: Tecnol Medical Products, Inc.

7201 Industrial Park Blvd. Fort Worth, TX 76180

Prepared By:

Ruth L. Jones

Date Submitted:

March 24, 1997

Device Name/ (2) Trade Name:

Tecnol PFR95™ Particulate Filter Respirator and

Surgical Mask FluidShield® Protection

Common Name:

Surgical Mask

Also sometimes referred to as a particulate respirator.

Classification Name: Surgical Apparel, as described in 21 CFR 878.4040

(3) Predicate Device: Gerson Isolair APR Type N95 Model 2735 Respirator

and Surgical Mask

Device Description: (4)

Respirator consisting of nonwoven inter facing, filter media(s), a fluid barrier film, and an outer facing. It covers the nose and mouth of the wearer, and is held in place with two synthetic elastic headbands, conforming to the curvature of the wearer's nose with a malleable

nosepiece.

(5) Intended Use: Meets the CDC guidelines for TB exposure control.

Has a filter efficiency level of 95% against solid particulate

aerosols free of oil (Type N95 respirator).

Designed to be fluid resistant to splash and spatter of blood

and body fluids.

Technological (6)

Characteristics

No new technological characteristics are used in the PFR95TM mask.

Comparison:

Tecnol Medical Products, Inc.
510(k) Premarket Notification
PFR95TM Particulate Filter Respirator and Surgical Mask with FluidShield® Protection

Tab H 2 of 2

(7) Performance Data:

Filtration Efficiency: Subject device samples met the NIOSH required sodium chloride test with 0.3 micron particles. At no time can the filtration efficiency drop below 95%.

Fluid Resistance: Subject device samples were challenged with 2cc of synthetic blood at a speed simulating release of blood from an artery. No fluid penetration was observed.

Face Fit: Subject device samples were tested using a qualitative fit test.

Ease of Breathing: Subject device samples met the requirements of the NIOSH airflow resistance test which requires initial resistance (inhalation) to be less than 35mm H₂O.

CONCLUSION: The results of these nonclinical tests, when compared with data available and/or claims made on the predicate device, demonstrate that the subject device is as safe and effective as the predicate device, and performs as well as the predicate device.